

Dogfen gwmpasu ar brydau ysgol am ddim i bawb

Lluniwyd gan Hannah Shaw, Prif Ddadansoddwr Tystiolaeth a Gwybodaeth a Dr Kirsty Little, Ymgynghorydd Iechyd y Cyhoedd, Iechyd Cyhoeddus Cymru. Mai 2022

Mae'r ddogfen gwmpasu hon yn rhoi trosolwg byr o ganfyddiadau chwiliad cwmpasu a gynhaliwyd gan y Gwasanaeth Tystiolaeth i nodi ffynonellau sy'n berthnasol i ganlyniadau yn ymwneud ag anghydraddoldebau iechyd sy'n deillio o ddarparu prydau ysgol am ddim i bob plentyn ifanc. Er bod adolygiadau systematig wedi'u nodi o ran canlyniadau sy'n gysylltiedig â phrydau ysgol am ddim i bawb, mae llawer yn edrych ar effeithiolrwydd yr ymyriadau hyn o ran diet, diogeled bwyd, cyrhaeddiad academaidd ac ati, ond y cais oedd canolbwyntio'n benodol ar effeithiolrwydd prydau ysgol am ddim i bawb o ran anghydraddoldebau iechyd a hefyd canlyniadau anfwriadol megis ehangu anghydraddoldebau iechyd.

Ble wnaethoch chi chwilio?

Cynhaliwyd chwiliad cwmpasu ar Google Scholar a Medline ar 11 a 12 Ebrill 2022 i nodi ffynonellau a allai fod yn berthnasol i'r cwestiwn canlynol:

A yw prydau ysgol am ddim i bawb yn mynd i'r afael ag anghydraddoldebau (ac nid yn eu hehangu)?

Pa dermau wnaethoch chi eu defnyddio?

Cynhaliwyd chwiliad eang ar Google Scholar gan ddefnyddio'r termau "systematic review" A "free school meals" A "universal" AC "equity" (neu "inequity"). Gosodwyd terfyn dyddiad o 2015. Cofnodwyd pum cant a deuddeg canlyniad.

Defnyddiwyd strategaeth chwilio fwy cynhwysfawr ar Medline (gweler Atodiad 1). Cofnodwyd dau gan bum deg naw o ffynonellau a gyhoeddwyd o 2015.

Cynhaliwyd chwiliad ychwanegol ar wefannau Ymddiriedolaeth Elusennol Joseph Rowntree, y Sefydliad Astudiaethau Cyllid a'r Sefydliad Ymyrraeth Gynnar ar 13 Ebrill 2022 gan ddefnyddio'r term "universal free school meal" gyda therfyn dyddiad o 2015 lle bo modd. Ni nodwyd unrhyw ffynonellau ychwanegol.

A wnaethoch chi ddod o hyd i unrhyw adolygiadau ansawdd da a oedd yn mynd i'r afael â'ch pwnc?

Na. Fodd bynnag, yn ogystal â'r adolygiad systematig a nodwyd gan y rhanddeiliad, <u>Cohen et al.</u> (2021), a oedd ymhlith y rhai nad oeddent yn uniongyrchol berthnasol, fe wnaethom nodi rhai adolygiadau systematig o amgylch y pwnc, a allai fod yn ddefnyddiol neu beidio. Amlinellir y rhain yn nhabl 1.



Gwasanaeth Tystiolaeth Adroddiad cwmpasu

Roedd y rhan fwyaf o ffynonellau a nodwyd a oedd yn ymchwilio i ddarpariaeth prydau ysgol am ddim i bawb yn edrych ar ganlyniadau addysgol ac ansawdd prydau bwyd ymysg plant iau, neu fabwysiadu, gweithredu a chyfrannu at ddarpariaeth prydau bwyd. O'r rhai a edrychodd ar ganlyniadau cysylltiedig ag iechyd, roedd y rhan fwyaf yn canolbwyntio ar gymeriant maethol ac ymddygiad neu bwysau dietegol. Yn ogystal, roedd llawer o ffynonellau a nodwyd yn ymchwilio i effaith ymyriadau brecwast.

 Rhestrwch unrhyw adolygiadau y daethoch o hyd iddynt gyda dolen i'r testun llawn os yw ar gael.

Mae tabl 1 yn amlinellu ffynonellau o ddiddordeb a nodwyd yn y chwiliad cwmpasu hwn.

Sylwch, ni chynhaliwyd unrhyw arfarniad ansawdd felly ni all y Gwasanaeth Tystiolaeth wneud sylwadau ar ansawdd methodolegol y ffynonellau a amlinellir yn nhabl 1. Os bwriedir defnyddio unrhyw bapur, a fyddech cystal â chynnal asesiad ansawdd ac ystyried cyffredinoli'r canfyddiadau i'ch cyddestun.



Tabl 1: Ffynonellau o ddiddordeb wedi'u n	odi
---	-----

Adolygiadau systematig Nodyn cyfeirio McGill, B (2020) School meal provision: a rapid evidence review. Prepared for the NSW Ministry of Health: Sydney. Physical Activity Nutrition Obesity Research Group, The University of Sydney. https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/bitstream/handle/2123/24060/School%20meal%20provision%20rapid%20review%20FINAL.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y	Nod/Cwestiwn A rapid review of the literature to determine the research evidence available on impacts of school food provision for socio-economically disadvantaged students, with a particular focus on food security.	Crynodeb School meal programs delivered in high-income countries included breakfast and lunch programs. These were either offered universally or were targeted at disadvantaged students or disadvantaged schools. Free or reduced price school meal programs increased student participation in these programs and have played a role in protecting vulnerable children from food insecurity. Targeted programs have resulted in stigmatisation for vulnerable students, whereas universal free meal programs have reduced the risk of stigma associated with school meal program participation. Although universal school meal provision in schools increased overall student participation in school lunch and breakfast, it is unclear whether these programs increased meal participation in those who needed it most. There were no studies identified that examined the cost effectiveness of school meal programs. A small number of qualitative studies of Australian breakfast programs indicate they were highly valued by school staff, parents and children, for providing breakfast to children in need, but challenges regarding implementation and sustainability were raised.	Sylwadau Includes both breakfast and lunch programmes, and universal or targeted programmes.
Dietrichson, J et al. (2018) Universal preschool programs and long-term child outcomes: A systematic review, Working Paper, No. 2018:19, Institute for Evaluation of Labour Market and Education Policy (IFAU), Uppsala https://www.econstor.eu/bit stream/10419/201457/1/10 42184844.pdf	What are the long-term effects of universal preschool programs on child outcomes?	26 studies were reviewed using natural experiments to estimate the effects of universal preschool programs for children aged 0-6 years on child outcomes measured from third grade to adulthood. Studies comparing preschool with parental, family, or other informal modes of care show mixed effects on test scores, and on measures related to health, well-being, and behaviour. However, all estimates for outcomes related to adequate primary and secondary school progression, years of schooling, highest degree completed, employment, and earnings indicate beneficial average effects of universal preschool programs. Three of the included studies calculate benefits-to-costs ratios and find ratios clearly above one. Universal preschool tends to be more beneficial for children with low socioeconomic status, and there are not consistently different effects for boys or girls. Only three studies	Not looking specifically at free school meals. Included one study looking at free school meals.



		compare two alternative types of universal preschool programs in terms of long-term outcomes.	
Ng, S et al. (2022) Identifying barriers and facilitators in the development and implementation of government-led food environment policies: a systematic review. Nutrition Reviews. Vol 00(0): 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuac016	To identify cited barriers and facilitators to food environment policy (FEP) processes reported in the literature, exploring these according to the nature of the policy (voluntary or mandatory) and country development status.	Context: Policy-specific actions to improve food environments will support healthy population diets. Objective: To identify cited barriers and facilitators to food environment policy (FEP) processes reported in the literature, exploring these according to the nature of the policy (voluntary or mandatory) and country development status. Data sources: A systematic search was conducted of 10 academic and 7 grey literature databases, national websites, and manual searches of publication references. Data extraction: Data on government-led FEPs, barriers, and facilitators from key informants were collected. Data synthesis: The constant-comparison approach generated core themes for barriers and facilitators. The appraisal tool developed by Hawker et al. was adopted to determine the quality of qualitative and quantitative studies. Results: A total of 142 eligible studies were identified. Industry resistance or disincentive was the most cited barrier in policy development. Technical challenges were most frequently a barrier for policy implementation. Frequently cited facilitators included resource availability or maximization, strategies in policy process, and stakeholder partnership or support. Conclusions: The findings from this study will strategically inform health-reform stakeholders about key elements of public health policy processes. More evidence is required from countries with human development indices ranging from low to high and on voluntary policies.	Not directly relevant but is very recent. May contain useful information.
Welch, V et al. (2022) How effects on health equity are assessed in systematic reviews of interventions. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 1. Art. No.: MR000028. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.MR000 028.pub3.	To explore what methods systematic reviewers use to consider health equity in systematic reviews of effectiveness	Search methods: We searched the following databases up to 26 February 2021: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cochrane Methodology Register, CINAHL, Education Resources Information Center, Education Abstracts, Criminal Justice Abstracts, Hein Index to Foreign Legal Periodicals, PAIS International, Social Services Abstracts, Sociological Abstracts, Digital Dissertations and the Health Technology Assessment Database. We searched SCOPUS to identify articles that cited any of the included studies on 10 June 2021. We contacted authors and searched the reference lists of included studies to identify additional potentially relevant studies. Main results: In total, 48,814 studies were identified, and the titles and abstracts were screened in duplicate. In this updated review, we	Not directly relevant, but may be useful for topic area

Astudiaethau Cynradd/Gwerthusiadau

Nod/Cwestiwn

Cyfeirnod

Crynodeb	Sylwadau
ettectiveness.	
assessments in order to consider health equity in systematic reviews of	
transparent reporting of judgments required for applicability	
about analytic approaches (including subgroup analyses) and	
stakeholder engagement (28 studies), which is a new finding in this	
factors (16 studies); 4) applicability assessment (25 studies) and 5)	
assessed differential effects across one or more PROGRESS-Plus	
analysis in original trials (50 studies); 3) analytic approaches which	
· ·	
(2 out of 158 studies) or use a combination of subgroup analysis and	
studies), assess analysis of a gradient in effect across PROGRESS-Plus	
assess subgroup analysis across PROGRESS-Plus (26 out of 158	
populations experiencing health inequity (108 out of 158 studies),	
vears since the first version of this review, which included 34 studies	
vegre since the first version of this review, which included 24 studies	
	assess subgroup analysis across PROGRESS-Plus (26 out of 158 studies), assess analysis of a gradient in effect across PROGRESS-Plus (2 out of 158 studies) or use a combination of subgroup analysis and focused approaches (20 out of 158 studies). The most common PROGRESS-Plus factors assessed were age (43 studies), socioeconomic status in 35 studies, low- and middle-income countries in 24 studies, gender or sex in 22 studies, race or ethnicity in 17 studies, and four studies assessed multiple factors across which health inequity may exist. Only 16 studies provided a definition of health inequity. Five methodological approaches to consider health equity in systematic reviews of effectiveness were identified: 1) descriptive assessment of reporting and analysis in systematic reviews (140 of 158 studies used a type of descriptive method); 2) descriptive assessment of reporting and analysis in original trials (50 studies); 3) analytic approaches which assessed differential effects across one or more PROGRESS-Plus factors (16 studies); 4) applicability assessment (25 studies) and 5) stakeholder engagement (28 studies), which is a new finding in this update and examines the appraisal of whether relevant stakeholders with lived experience of health inequity were included in the design of systematic reviews or design and delivery of interventions. Reporting for both approaches (analytic and applicability) lacked transparency and was insufficiently detailed to enable the assessment of credibility. Authors' conclusions: There is a need for improvement in conceptual clarity about the definition of health equity, describing sufficient detail about analytic approaches (including subgroup analyses) and transparent reporting of judgments required for applicability assessments in order to consider health equity in systematic reviews of effectiveness.



Chambers, S et al. (2020)
Learning from the implementation of Universal Free School Meals in Scotland using Normalisation Process Theory: Lessons for policymakers to engage multiple stakeholders, Food Policy, Volume 95,101936, ISSN 0306-9192.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101936.

The aim of this study was to use normalisation process theory to understand the implementation of UFSM for children in their first three years of primary school within Scotland, and to use this understanding to identify key areas of learning for any further extension of the policy within the UK and beyond.

In 2014/15, Universal Free School Meals (UFSM) were introduced in Scotland and England for children in their first three years of primary school. This study examined the implementation of UFSM in Scotland using Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), a middle-range theory of implementation, to identify areas of learning for policymakers wishing to introduce or extend similar policies. NPT is predominantly used to evaluate interventions or new technologies in healthcare settings. Qualitative data were collected across Scotland using a case study approach shortly after implementation (n = 29 school-level stakeholders) and in the following school year (n = 18 school-level stakeholders and n = 19 local authority-level stakeholders). Observations of lunchtime in each school were conducted at both timepoints. Data were analysed using a thematic framework approach using NPT constructs and subconstructs. Results suggested education and catering stakeholders experiences of implementation diverged most around the NPT concepts of coherence, cognitive participation, and reflexive monitoring. Lack of coherence around the purpose and long-term benefits of UFSM appeared to reduce education stakeholders' willingness to engage with the policy beyond operational issues. In contrast, catering stakeholders identified a direct benefit to their everyday work and described receiving additional resources to deliver the policy. Overall, participants described an absence of monitoring data around the areas of greatest salience for education stakeholders. This study successfully used NPT to identify policy learning around school meals. Policymakers must increase the salience of such intersectoral policies for all relevant stakeholders involved before policy implementation and plan adequate monitoring to evaluate potential long-term benefits.

Case study from
Scotland. Data taken
between March –
October 2015.

Ford et al. (2016) Process Evaluation of the implementation of universal free school meals (UFSM) for P1 to P3: Research with schools and local authorities. NHS Health Scotland, Edinburgh. http://www.healthscotland.s cot/media/1309/universalThis document outlines a process evaluation of the implementation and uptake of the UFSM Policy undertaken across five Scottish local authorities offering free school meals to P1-P3 pupils.

This report focuses on the findings from a process evaluation of the implementation of universal free school meals (UFSM) for primary 1 to 3 pupils in Scotland. The evaluation had three strands, research with parents, schools and local authorities. A detailed report on the research with parents was published in October 2015. This report focuses on the research with schools and local authorities, detailing the methods (Chapter 2) used and key findings for each strand (Chapters 3 and 4). In the discussion (Chapter 5) findings across all three research strands have been synthesised, to inform our conclusions and recommendations (Chapter 6).

Likely to be using same data as Chambers et al. (2020) but unsure as not checked.

free-school-meals-main-report march-2016.pdf Illøkken, K.E. et al. (2021) Free school meals as an opportunity to target social equality, healthy eating and school functioning: experiences from students and teachers in Norway.	To explore students' and teachers' experiences of receiving free school meals immediately after the free school meal projected ended and then again five years	Background: There are no national arrangements for free school meals provision in Norway despite this being an important opportunity to improve children's and adolescents' nutritional status and ultimately their physical and cognitive development. During a one academic year (2014–2015), a group of Norwegian sixth graders were served a free healthy school meal in a project called 'The School Meal Project'. Design: In-depth, semi-structured interviews with separate groups in	This thematic study discusses the potential for free school meals to reduce social and health inequalities between students.
Food & Nutrition Research. 65: 7702 - http://dx.doi.org/10.29219/f nr.v65.7702	later	2015 and in 2020 were conducted face to face or via telephone or digital platforms. The findings are based on 13 students (aged 12–16) and 5 teacher interviews. Findings: Thematic analysis identified four main themes that describe the perceived benefits of receiving free school meals: 1) the meal as a social event where students made new friends and learned new skills; 2) as an aid to forming healthy eating habits; and as an opportunity to 3) improve school functioning and 4) increase social equality among students. Discussion: Our analysis suggests that the free school meal may influence healthy behaviors not only at the individual level but also at the social-, physical-, and macro-levels. Methodological limitations, including self-selection bias, should be considered when interpreting our findings. Conclusion: This study provides unique insights into the social benefits for students of receiving free school meals. Our findings illustrate the potential of free school meals: eating healthy foods, sharing a meal together, and interaction between students and teachers at mealtime, to promote health, learning, and equality. In order to maximize these benefits through national implementation of free school meals, more understanding is needed of possible facilitators and barriers related to the provision and uptake of free school meals.	May not be relevant as not focused of health outcomes.



© 2022 Ymddiriedolaeth GIG lechyd Cyhoeddus Cymru.

Gellir atgynhyrchu'r deunydd yn y ddogfen hon o dan delerau'r Drwydded Llywodraeth Agored

www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/

ar yr amod y gwneir hynny'n gywir ac nad yw'n cael ei ddefnyddio mewn cyddestun camarweiniol.

Mae angen datgan cydnabyddiaeth i Ymddiriedolaeth GIG lechyd Cyhoeddus Cymru.

Ymddiriedolaeth GIG lechyd Cyhoeddus Cymru sy'n berchen ar yr hawlfraint o ran y trefniant teipograffyddol, y dyluniad a'r cynllun.

ISBN: 978-1-83766-124-4